Multi-Agent Multi-Model Simulation of Smart Grids in the MS4SG Project

J. Vaubourg, Y. Presse, B. Camus, C. Bourjot, L. Ciarletta, V. Chevrier, J.-P. Tavella and H. Morais

> PAAMS 3rd June 2015



Plan

- 1. Smart Grids
- 2. Simulation Goals & Challenges
- 3. MECSYCO: Co-Simulation Platform
- 4. Co-Simulation Building Example

Smart Grids

What? Why?

"a **modernized grid** that enables **bidirectional flows of energy** and uses **two-way communication** and control capabilities that will lead to an array of **new functionalities and applications**"

(from nist.gov)

Smart Grids: Why?

- Renewable and intermittent energy sources
- Production & Consumption balance
- Voltage control
- Electric vehicles increase

Smart Grids: Why?

- Renewable and intermittent energy sources
- Production & Consumption balance
- Voltage control
- Electric vehicles increase

New algorithms or original operating modes to test

- Some demonstrator systems in France (e.g. VENTEEA, MILLENER)
- Not easy to find local areas for experimentation
- Long and expensive to enroll participants (consumers, producers, EVs owners, utilities, etc.)

- Some demonstrator systems in France (e.g. VENTEEA, MILLENER)
- Not easy to find local areas for experimentation
- Long and expensive to enroll participants (consumers, producers, EVs owners, utilities, etc.)

Simulation is an attractive solution for testing without real prototypes

Simulation Goals & Challenges

in the context of Smart grids

Fields of technology



Smart grids are composed of **3 main fields of technology**















Goals













Various existing **well-tested**, **proven or industrial models** has to be reused

Goals: Interactions among models



Models have to be **connected and executed together** in a same **co-simulation**

Challenges

Challenges: Formalisms Heterogeneity Integration



Different formalisms have to co-exist

Challenges: Representations Heterogeneity Integration



Simulated time and exchanged data can have different representations

Challenges: Simulators Heterogeneity Integration



Models implementations are usable with **different simulators** (often **not interoperable** together)

Challenges: Languages Heterogeneity Integration



Simulator bindings are proposed with different languages

Challenges: Platforms Heterogeneity Integration



Simulators are available for different platforms

Managing the **heterogeneity** of a multi-model:

1. Models Issues: integrating different formalisms and representations

2. **Software Issues**: ensuring the **simulators interoperability** for the models reusability

MECSYCO

Multi-agent Environment for Complex SYstems CO-simulation

MECSYCO is a co-simulation platform

MECSYCO is a co-simulation platform



Meta-modeling approach: from an intuitive graphic to an executable software

Intuitive graphics are described with the **Agents & Artifacts paradigm**

Intuitive graphics are described with the **Agents & Artifacts paradigm**

Agents correspond to models (1 agent = 1 model)

• Artifacts correspond to the interactions

The multi-agent dynamics corresponds to the multi-model execution

Specifications are based on the DEVS formalism

Specifications are based on the DEVS formalism

Any formalism can be mapped in DEVS

 Agents' behavior is defined with the Chandy-Misra-Bryant algorithm

Co-Simulation Building Example

With MECSYCO

24 / 39

Building with MECSYCO: Existing



Existing models and simulators to use

Building with MECSYCO: Model Artifacts



Model Artifacts: ensure software interoperability and manage formalism integration

Building with MECSYCO: Model Artifacts



Model Artifacts act as **DEVS** wrappers

Building with MECSYCO: M-agents



M-agents: execute the simulation (control the models and manage the **dynamics** of the co-simulation)

Building with MECSYCO: Coupling Artifacts



Coupling Artifacts: exchange events between m-agents

Building with MECSYCO: Operations



Operations: transform the events (in this case, convert types)

Building with MECSYCO: Operations



Operations: in this case, change time scales

Building with MECSYCO: Remote Communications



Each simulator instance can use a dedicated thread or host

Building with MECSYCO: Intuitive Graphic



This is the intuitive graphic corresponding to our use case

Building with MECSYCO: Observing Agents



The Agents & Artifacts paradigm allows us to add **observing m-agents** (plots, traces, etc.)

Building with MECSYCO: Results Visualization



The *European Institute For Energy Research* (EIFER) used our simulation results for building a **visualization software**

Conclusion

- Executable co-simulation created from a set of models, thanks to an intuitive graphic
- Integration of several forms of heterogeneity (formalism, representation, language, simulator and platform)
- Simulation results are directly usable

Conclusion

- Purely decentralized execution
- Developed in Java and C++
- Developement framework available (mecsyco.com) Free Software: AGPL 2.0



1. Generating the physical domain from CIM (*Common Information Model*)

2. Connecting more business tools to visualize simulations

3. Long Term: Experimental Plans

Questions?